	Application No.	Applicant(a)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Acti	09/241,636	HEATH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeanine A Enewold Goldberg	1655
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 August 2000</u> FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either a tinal allowance or a Notice of Appeal. Alternatively, applicant in Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR	oid abandonment of this applicated in the control of the control o	tion. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]		
a) 🗵 The period for reply expires 💪 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OF whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory p mailing date of the final rejection.	R continues to run from the mailing date of	of the final rejection,
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 (a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon with requisite fees.	the timely submission of a Notice	e of Appeal and Appeal Brief
3.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or		ially reducing or simplifying the
(d) 🖾 they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fir	ally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):	
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
6. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		ered but does NOT place the
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is	s as follows (see attached writter	explanation, if any):
Claim(s) allowed: None.		
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 12-62</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a)	☐has b)☐ has not been appro	oved by the Examiner.
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
11. Other:		
		PRIMARY EXAM

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 03-98)

**Advisory Action** 

Part of Paper No. 11

Continuation of 1. NOTE: The amendment has not been entered because a further search would be required to evaluate the newly added limitations, "wherein the solid support has not contacted the biological material at the time of treatment" and "any unbound lysing reagent is removed from the solid support before the biological material is contacted with the solid support". These limitations were not presented previously and thus would require additional search.

It is also noted that Claims 54 does not have a positive process step which meets the preamble.

Claims 61 and 62 have been added which require that the lysing reagent is anionic. This limitation had not been previously presented nor searched.

The response assets that the amendments to the claims overcome the rejections of Boom and Deggerdal, however, the amendment has not been entered and the arguments are thus moot.